



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,536	09/27/2000	Paul Alexander	POM-10902/29	6709
7590 02/12/2004			EXAMINER	
John G Posa Esq			CABRERA, ZOILA E	
Gifford Krass Groh Sprinkle Anderson & Citkowski PC 280 N Old Woodward Ave'Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	~
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/200	4 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Zoila E. Cabrera The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet we	·				
Zoila E. Cabrera The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	2125 vith the correspondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
Period for Reply	MONTH(S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 No. 1 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (10) for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even it earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 September 2000.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in a copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not 	Application No n received in this National Stage				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/671,536

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rock et al. (US 5,555,481) in view of Hanratty (US 5,990,897).

Rock discloses a method of modeling a tool path in an additive manufacturing process enabling multi-material parts to be fabricated without material interference (Fig. 4), the method comprising the steps of:

separately modeling each material as a single <u>or</u> multiple solid part under the assumption that multiple materials <u>or</u> voids are not present (Fig. 4; Col. 7, lines 14-26; Col. 5, lines 65-67); ordering the parts from the outermost geometry to the innermost geometry (Fig. 3, i.e., first layer corresponds to the outermost geometry and subsequent layers correspond to the innermost geometry).

Regarding claims 2-3, and 5, Rock further discloses,

- all of the steps are carried out using a CAD system limited to single-material designs (Col. 9, lines 14-17 and lines 40-42);
- the tool path is a spiral-in, spiral-out, arbitrary direction raster path, <u>or</u> a combination thereof (Fig. 9; Col. 9, lines 14-17);

Application/Control Number: 09/671,536

Art Unit: 2125

 the step of embedding commands as appropriate to accommodate closed- or open-loop control over the fabrication process (Fig. 5).

However, **Rock** does not disclose, regarding claim 1, performing Boolean operations on the ordered parts to calculate the final volume for each part. But **Hanratty** discloses a method for automatically generating a three-dimensional geometric solid wherein it is disclosed that Boolean operations are performed for calculating the final volume of a part (Col. 1, lines 35-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Rock** with **Hanratty** because it would provide with a simple system for modeling a three-dimensional object by using well known Boolean operations for realizing the volume of a part as taught by **Hanratty** (Col. 1, lines 35-50).

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rock et** al. (US 5,555,481) and **Hanratty (US 5,990,897)** as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of **Mazumder et al. (US 6,410,105)**.

Rock and Hanratty disclose the limitations of claim 1 above but fail to disclose the step of reflecting the geometries to accommodate overhang or undercut features. However, Mazumder discloses a system for the fabrication of overhangs, undercuts and three-dimensional structures integrated into the body of molds using a direct metal deposition process (Col. 2, lines 48-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Rock and Hanratty with the system of Mazumder because it would

Application/Control Number: 09/671,536

Art Unit: 2125

provide an improved system wherein cooling channels and other features, which are part of the geometry or structure of the article, including holes, cavities, discontinuities, steps, corners and so forth, are created during the same DMD fabrication process.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rock et** al. (US 5,555,481) and (US 5,990,897) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of **Hanna et al.** (US 6,574,523).

Rock and Hanratty disclose the limitations of claim 1 above but fail to disclose the steps of: generating multiple tool paths; and merging the toolpaths into a single toolpath file. However, Hanna discloses the generation of multiple tool paths and merging the toolpath into a single toolpath file (Col.11, lines 16-27 and lines 36-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Rock and Hanratty with the teachings of Hanna because it would provide with an improved system wherein each area of the model that requires a specific property is separated out into its own unique file and thereafter merge all the files that make up the complete model (Col. 11, lines 16-18 and lines 22-25).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Zoila Cabrera, whose telephone number is (703) 306-

4768. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

Picard, can be reached on (703) 308-0538. Additionally, the fax phones for Art Unit 2125 are (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Patent Examiner 2/5/04

J. P. P.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100